Dynamics of Drag and Force Distributions for Projectile Impact in a Granular Medium
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Our experiments and molecular dynamics simulations on a projectile penetrating a two-dimensional granular medium reveal that the mean deceleration of the projectile is constant and proportional to the impact velocity. Thus, the time taken for a projectile to decelerate to a stop is independent of its impact velocity. The simulations show that the probability distribution function of forces on grains is time independent during a projectile’s deceleration in the medium. At all times the force distribution function decreases exponentially for large forces.
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Craters on the Earth and the Moon are similar to craters formed in laboratory experiments at much lower energies by using projectiles and explosives [1–3]. In laboratory experiments at large impact energies, narrow jets have been observed to rise even higher than the initial height of the projectile [4,5]. Recent experiments have determined how the shape, depth, and width of craters formed in granular media depend on the energy of the impact projectile [6,7], but there is little known about the dynamics of a projectile during crater formation.

We have studied the time evolution of projectile motion. Our experiments and molecular dynamics simulations on a two-dimensional granular medium yield the time dependence of the drag force on projectiles. Simulations for the same conditions are in accord with the experiment and also yield the time evolution of the forces on all of the particles; hence, we can study the time dependence of the force probability distribution function at different stages of the projectile motion.

Our observations and simulations reveal three distinct regimes of the motion, as illustrated in Fig. 1: impact, where the projectile first hits the granular medium; penetration, where a transient crater forms and grains in front of the projectile are fluidized; collapse, where the projectile has almost stopped and the deep transient crater collapses, forming a static crater that remains visible on the surface.

Methods.—In the experiment, a projectile of diameter $D = 4.46$ cm and mass 32.2 g was dropped into a bed of small particles (cylinders) contained between two glass plates with a separation 1.1 times the length of the cylinders. The initial projectile heights $h$ ($h < 80$ cm) correspond to impact velocities up to 400 cm/s. To reduce crystallization, two sizes of small particles were used: 12 600 particles (84% of the total number) had diameter $d_1 = 0.456$ cm (mass $m_1 = 0.049$ g) and 2400 particles had diameter $d_2 = 0.635$ cm (mass $m_2 = 0.097$ g). To obtain a uniform granular bed with a reproducible area fraction before each drop of the projectile, the bed was fluidized with air flow that was slowly reduced to zero, yielding the same bed height ($65d_1$) and area fraction (81 ± 2%) for each projectile drop. The bed width was $225d_1$. The position of the projectile, $y(t)$, defined as the distance between the bottom of the projectile and the initial height of the bed, was determined with a high speed camera and a center of mass particle tracking algorithm [8].

FIG. 1. Snapshots of a projectile in the three distinct regimes of its motion in a bidisperse mixture of particles (cylinders). Experiment: the larger cylinders of the bidisperse mixture are colored black for visualization and are 40% larger in diameter than the grey cylinders. The dashed line shows the location of the surface after the collapse is complete. Simulation: the shading of each particle is proportional to the sum of the magnitudes of all the normal forces acting on that particle; this renders visible the instantaneous force chains. The projectile is 9.8 times as large in diameter and 657 times as massive as the smallest particles.
We modeled the system with a soft-core molecular dynamics (MD) simulation that used 15,000 disks that had the same sizes and area fraction as the experiment. Any two disks (one of which can be the projectile) exert the following normal and tangential forces on one another:

\[
\vec{F}_n = -[k \delta + m_r \gamma_n |\vec{\dot{u}}_n| \theta(\vec{\dot{u}}_n)]\vec{n},
\]

\[
\vec{F}_s = \min[\min[m_r \gamma_s |\vec{\dot{u}}_s|, \mu |\vec{\dot{F}}_n|]]\vec{s},
\]

where \(\delta\) is the length of overlap [9,10], and \(\vec{u}_n\) and \(\vec{u}_s\) are, respectively, the normal and the tangential component of the surface velocity (\(\vec{n}\) and \(\vec{s}\) are unit vectors parallel to \(\vec{u}_n\) and \(\vec{u}_s\)). The four parameters of the model were found empirically for one impact velocity, and the same parameters were used for all other simulations: \(k = 3.2 \times 10^3\) kg s\(^{-2}\) \([11-14]\) is proportional to Young’s modulus, \(\gamma_n = 10^4\) s\(^{-1}\) and \(\gamma_s = 8 \times 10^2\) s\(^{-1}\) are viscoelastic constants, and \(\mu = 0.28\) is the static friction coefficient. \(m_r\) is the reduced mass \((m_r^{-1} = m_A^{-1} + m_B^{-1}\) for two particles \(A\) and \(B\)) the Heaviside function \(\theta\) in \(\vec{F}_n\) models an elastic-plastic interaction (e.g., see Fig. 8 of [15]); the use of the Heaviside function distinguishes our force model from previous soft-core MD simulations [9,14]. Simulations with a more realistic form for \(\vec{F}_s\) [16] yielded results not significantly different from our simple form, which is computationally more efficient. A comparison of the simulation output using time steps shorter than 1 \(\mu s\) did not yield different results; a 1 \(\mu s\) time step was used in the results presented here.

**Results.**—The simulation results agree remarkably well with the laboratory observations, as Fig. 2 illustrates. Both experiment and simulation reveal that the time taken for a projectile to slow to a stop in the granular medium is independent of its velocity at impact. The large deceleration of the projectile at impact (see Fig. 3) is similar to that of a projectile incident on a liquid. However, in contrast to the behavior of a projectile in a fluid [17], in the granular medium there is a long penetration region in which the projectile’s average acceleration is constant: \(y(t)\) is described by a parabola [Fig. 2(a)], so \(v_y(t)\) decreases linearly in time [Fig. 2(b)]. Further, the acceleration is proportional to the impact velocity, as the inset in Fig. 2(b) illustrates: \(v_y = \alpha v_0 g\), where the slope of the line gives \(\alpha = 0.0064 \pm 0.0001\) s/cm. Thus, the projectile slows almost to a stop in a time \(t = 1/\alpha g \approx 0.15\) s, independent of \(v_0\). The projectile does not immediately come to a complete stop; rather it then moves very slowly downward over the next few seconds as the particles in the bed make small rearrangements in response to the collapse of the transient crater.

The drag force on the projectile, while constant on the average, exhibits large fluctuations, which have a \(f^{-2}\) spectrum (Fig. 3).

The simulation determines all of the forces on each particle at every instance of time. Every force exerted by a particle on the projectile during a short portion of its travel is shown in Fig. 4. At each point in the projectile’s trajectory only a few particles exert a significant force on the projectile. Each peak in the magnitude of the force between an individual particle and the projectile in Fig. 4 corresponds to a maximum force felt by the first particle in a force chain [18] that extends downward. Each force chain consists of a string of particles in contact. The sum of the magnitudes of forces felt by each particle in this chain is much greater than the average for the particles in the bed, as can be seen in Fig. 1 (simulation), where dark chains of particles extend downward from the projectile into the particle bed.
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in measurements of fluctuations of the stress on a slowly sheared two-dimensional granular medium [25] and in measurements of the torque on a torsional pendulum in contact with a vibrofluidized granular bed [26]. The $f^{-2}$ dependence is explained by assuming random jumps in the drag force [25]. In our experiment these jumps originate from the variation of the forces exerted by the grains in contact with the projectile (Fig. 4).

Finally, our simulations have yielded the normal contact forces for all particles in the bed. The distribution function for the forces on the particles in front of the projectile rapidly evolves immediately after the projectile makes contact with the bed, and then the distribution becomes stationary as the projectile penetrates the bed. This stationary distribution decays exponentially beyond an inflection point at $F^*$ whose value is linearly proportional to the impact velocity. This is the first determination of the force distribution for a granular medium for an accelerating particle. During impact, our force distribution is different from that measured for static beds [19], where the force distribution decayed exponentially at all times, as predicted by the $q$ model [27].
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[11] As in most other MD simulations of granular media with a soft-core potential (e.g., [12, 13]), we use a value of $k$ that is far smaller than the physical value (10$^6$ kg s$^{-2}$ for nylon) because a higher value is computationally too expensive; the integration time must have the form $\Delta t \propto k^{-1/2}$ for collisions to be modeled effectively [14]. The success of past [12, 13] and present MD simulations with a small value of $k$ indicates that the model, despite this flaw, captures much of the dissipative dynamics.
[24] For a projectile penetrating a dilute granular medium at high velocity ($v > 10^2$ cm/s) [9], much smaller fluctuations than we observe have been found.